

Malaysia [15], 8 weeks in Ghana [5] and 5 weeks in Botswana [14], but longer than that in other studies [8,16]. As reported elsewhere [9,12], the results indicated that the institutional delay was more significant than delays in diagnosis and treatment. The most common reasons for a doctor's delay were a low index of suspicion for tuberculosis on the part of physicians and healthcare system, as well as laboratory delays and the distance to a hospital. Previous studies have reported similar findings, and have also identified under-utilised chest X-ray examination facilities and a failure to perform sputum smear examinations as important reasons for a doctor's delay [11,12,14,16,17].

In conclusion, delays in diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis were a common problem at the Heybeliada Center for Chest Disease and Thoracic Surgery. Delayed diagnosis results in a more advanced disease state, the likelihood of complications, increased mortality, and enhanced transmission of infection among healthcare workers and in the community. Delays should be reduced for good control of tuberculosis. Education of physicians and the public about tuberculosis, reductions in healthcare system and laboratory delays, together with improvements in economic status and sociocultural factors, are the most important factors likely to reduce delays in diagnosis and treatment among tuberculosis patients.

## REFERENCES

1. Dolin PJ, Raviglione MC, Kochi A. *A review of current epidemiological data and estimation of future tuberculosis incidence and mortality*. WHO/TB/93.173. Geneva: World Health Organization 1993.
2. Frieden TR, Sterling TR, Munsiff SS, Watt CJ, Dye C. Tuberculosis. *Lancet* 2003; **362**: 887–899.
3. Sudre P, Dam GT, Kochi A. Tuberculosis: a global overview of the situation today. *Bull WHO* 1992; **70**: 149–159.
4. Hernandez-Garduno E, Cook V, Kunimoto D, Elwood RK, Black WA, FitzGerald JM. Transmission of tuberculosis from smear negative patients: a molecular epidemiology study. *Thorax* 2004; **59**: 286–290.
5. Lawn SD, Afful B, Acheampong JW. Pulmonary tuberculosis: diagnostic delay in Ghanaian adults. *Intl J Tuberc Lung Dis* 1998; **2**: 635–640.
6. Murray JC, Styblo K, Rouillon AT. Tuberculosis in developing countries. Burden, intervention, cost. *Bull Intl Union Tuberc Lung Dis* 1990; **65**: 6–24.
7. Mathur P, Sacks L, Auten G, Sall R, Levy C, Gordin F. Delayed diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis in city hospitals. *Arch Intern Med* 1994; **154**: 306–310.
8. Odusanya OO, Babafemi JO. Patterns of delays amongst pulmonary tuberculosis patients in Lagos, Nigeria. *BMC Public Health* 2004; **4**: 18–22.
9. Yilmaz A, Bođa S, Sulu E *et al*. Delays in diagnosis and treatment of hospitalized patients with smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis. *Respir Med* 2001; **95**: 802–805.
10. Pirkis JE, Speed BR, Yung AP, Dunt DR, MacIntyre CR, Plant AJ. Time to initiation of anti-tuberculosis treatment. *Tuberc Lung Dis* 1996; **77**: 401–406.
11. Wandwalo ER, Morkve O. Delay in tuberculosis case-finding and treatment in Mwanza, Tanzania. *Intl J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2000; **4**: 133–138.
12. Güneylüoğlu D, Yilmaz A, Bilgin S, Bayram Ü, Akkaya E. Factors affecting delays in diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis in a tertiary care hospital in Istanbul, Turkey. *Med Sci Monit* 2004; **10**: CR62–67.
13. Mori T, Shimao T, Jin BW, Kim SJ. Analysis of case-finding process of tuberculosis in Korea. *Tuberc Lung Dis* 1992; **73**: 225–231.
14. Steen TW, Mazonde GN. Pulmonary tuberculosis in Kweneng District, Botswana: delays in diagnosis in 212 smear-positive patients. *Intl J Tuberc Lung Dis* 1998; **2**: 627–634.
15. Liam CK, Tang BG. Delay in the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis in patients attending a university teaching hospital. *Intl J Tuberc Lung Dis* 1997; **1**: 326–332.
16. Sherman LF, Fujiwara PI, Cook SV, Bazerman LB, Frieden TR. Patient and health care system delays in the diagnosis and treatment of tuberculosis. *Intl J Tuberc Lung Dis* 1999; **3**: 1088–1095.
17. Rao VK, Iademarco EP, Fraser VJ, Kollef MH. Delays in the suspicion and treatment of tuberculosis among hospitalized patients. *Ann Intern Med* 1999; **130**: 404–411.

## RESEARCH NOTE

### Monitoring of vancomycin serum levels for the treatment of staphylococcal infections

M. D. Kitzis and F. W. Goldstein

Hospital Saint Joseph, Clinical Microbiology Laboratory, Paris, France

### ABSTRACT

Vancomycin serum concentrations were determined for 1737 patients treated with either 2 × 1 g of vancomycin or 4 × 500 mg daily (780 patients), according to current nomograms, or by

Corresponding author and reprint requests: F. W. Goldstein, Clinical Microbiology Laboratory, Fondation Hospital Saint Joseph, 185 rue Raymond Losserand, 75014 Paris, France  
E-mail: [fgoldstein@hopital-saint-joseph.org](mailto:fgoldstein@hopital-saint-joseph.org)

continuous infusion (957 patients) with a loading dose (1 g) and a total of 2–6 g daily. Trough serum concentrations were determined after 36–48 h. Adequate serum levels for the treatment of a normal methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and a glycopeptide-intermediate *S. aureus* (GISA) were observed in 81% and 20.9% of patients, respectively. The data support theoretical arguments that higher and more sustained serum levels of vancomycin, obtained by continuous infusion, may enhance clinical efficacy.

**Keywords** Continuous infusion, GISA, MRSA, serum concentration, vancomycin

**Original Submission:** 19 May 2005; **Revised Submission:** 13 June 2005; **Accepted:** 9 July 2005

*Clin Microbiol Infect* 2005; 12: 92–95  
10.1111/j.1469-0691.2005.01306.x

More than 45 years after the introduction of vancomycin for the treatment of severe antibiotic-resistant staphylococcal infections, there are still controversies regarding the determination and interpretation of vancomycin serum concentrations [1–7]. Older studies focused on toxicity without considering clinical efficacy [8–11]. When performed and reported, serum assays always involved very few patients and the interpretation of the results was debatable. The aims of the present study were to determine the trough vancomycin serum concentrations in a large number of patients, and to consider the rationale for modifying the currently recommended 'acceptable therapeutic range', taking into account the pharmacokinetics, toxicity and antibacterial activity of vancomycin in combination with the clinical results.

Serum assays are performed routinely in the Hospital Saint Joseph, Paris, for all patients receiving vancomycin therapy. In total, 1737 patients treated during 1998–2004 were enrolled in the study. The patients received either 1 g of vancomycin every 12 h, or 500 mg every 6 h (according to current nomograms), or were treated by continuous infusion with a loading dose (1 g) and a total daily dose of 2–6 g. The daily dose was then adapted after further monitoring. The first trough serum assays were performed at steady state after treatment for 36–48 h; 5–10 mL of blood was drawn from the contralateral vein, and assays were performed within 1 h by the

**Table 1.** Trough vancomycin serum levels (first assay only) obtained in 1737 patients after either 2–4 separate doses ( $n = 780$ ) or administration by continuous infusion ( $n = 957$ )

Serum level (mg/L)	No. patients with the indicated serum level <sup>a</sup>	
	2–4 separate doses	Continuous infusion
<5	148 (19.0%)	15
5–10	204	60 (7.9%)
10–15	160	212
15–20	103	173
20–25	70 (68.8%)	176
25–30	35	121 (71.2%)
30–35	22	74
35–40	12	59
>40	26 (12.2%)	67 (20.9%)

<sup>a</sup>Dialysed patients excluded.

fluorescence polarisation immunoassay (TDx; Abbott Diagnostics, Rungis, France). The results are presented in Table 1.

When the 'Mississippi mud' was released during the 1950s, it contained impurities responsible for renal and ototoxicity or allergic reactions [8–11]. Cases of toxicity occurred during the first 10 years of vancomycin use, often in patients receiving other nephrotoxic compounds, or who had pre-existing renal dysfunctions. In the present study, <1% of the 1737 patients treated during the 7-year period of the study with trough concentrations  $\leq 40$  mg/L suffered from vancomycin-related toxicity (N. Desplaces and A. Ben Ali, personal communications). Vancomycin has a narrow spectrum of activity, restricted to most Gram-positive bacteria, and is the drug of choice for the treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). The vancomycin MIC for MRSA is 1–2 mg/L for fully vancomycin-susceptible strains. All strains with MICs  $\geq 4$  mg/L can be considered to be (hetero) glycopeptide-intermediate *S. aureus* (GISA) on the basis of population analysis profiles [12,13].

Vancomycin inhibits peptidoglycan synthesis by binding to the D-Ala-D-Ala terminus of the nascent murein monomer, resulting in the inhibition of cell-wall synthesis. Only 50% of the vancomycin arriving at the surface of a staphylococcus will reach the target site. GISA are characterised by a thicker cell-wall with increased amounts of peptidoglycan, and the increased quantities of unprocessed D-Ala-D-Ala cause

increased 'trapping' and 'clogging', resulting in higher vancomycin MICs and the increased inoculum effect observed with GISA in comparison with fully vancomycin-susceptible strains [12–15]. This can explain the therapeutic failures observed in the treatment of GISA infections, where a concentration of 8–16 mg/L is required at the site of infection in order to totally inhibit large numbers of bacteria ( $10^8$  CFU/g), in contrast to 3–4 mg/L for a fully vancomycin-susceptible strain [12–14]. Continuous infusion increases diffusion of vancomycin in body fluids and tissues, with the result that a more sustained concentration is achieved more quickly.

The 'therapeutic range' for vancomycin was established in the early 1980s in four healthy subjects who each received 500 mg of vancomycin, yielding peak concentrations of 20–40 mg/L and trough concentrations of 5–10 mg/L, obviously without any clinical correlation [13]. Vancomycin is 50% protein-bound in serum, which explains the 2–4-fold MIC increase *in vivo* and the occurrence of breakthrough positive blood-cultures, particularly in patients infected with GISA strains [12–15]. The tissue concentration of vancomycin does not exceed 30–40% of the serum concentration [13]. Other important factors to be considered include the decreased in-vivo bactericidal activity, the inoculum effect, the attachment of staphylococci to foreign bodies, and the production of biofilm. A trough vancomycin serum level of 15–25 mg/L is mandatory for the treatment of a fully glycopeptide-susceptible MRSA, with 30–40 mg/L (administered by continuous infusion) being required for treatment of a GISA infection [12,16]. In the present study of 1737 patients who were treated either conventionally or with continuous infusion, 19% and 7.9%, respectively, of those infected with a fully vancomycin-susceptible *S. aureus*, and 87.8% and 79.1%, respectively, of those infected with a GISA isolate failed to receive an adequate dose. Similarly, a study of paediatric patients reported that <20% had adequate therapeutic serum levels [6]. Theoretical aspects, often unfounded and misinterpreted, such as nomograms based solely on creatinine clearance, are likely to be inaccurate for some patients [7]. Other practical considerations, including cost, should not counterbalance the risk to the patient and the consequences of inadequate treatment [1].

Several previous reports have claimed that clinical cure does not always correlate with vanco-

mycin serum concentrations [2,3], and no clinical cure attributed to vancomycin can be expected if the serum concentration remains below the MIC, particularly in the case of GISA. Sabath [14] reported that up to 6 g vancomycin/day were required to eliminate strains that, from the increase in MICs observed with a heavy inoculum (from 2 to 32–64 mg/L), were probably GISA. Nomograms are necessary, but monitoring is essential for dose adjustment and follow-up. The data presented above strengthen the theoretical arguments that higher and more sustained serum levels, obtained by continuous infusion, may contribute to a better clinical efficacy.

Some investigators have suggested that determination of vancomycin serum concentrations is unnecessary until the relationship between serum concentration and clinical outcome has been demonstrated [2]. However, after waiting for such results for more than 30 years, it seems appropriate to continue monitoring vancomycin serum levels in order to ensure effective therapeutic concentrations until the results of well-designed clinical studies become available.

## REFERENCES

1. Darko W, Medicis JJ, Smith A, Guharoy R, Lehmann DE. Mississippi mud no more: cost-effectiveness of pharmacokinetic dosage adjustment of vancomycin to prevent nephrotoxicity. *Pharmacother* 2003; **23**: 643–650.
2. Edwards DJ, Pancorbo S. Routine monitoring of serum concentrations: waiting for proof of its value. *Clin Pharm* 1987; **6**: 652–654.
3. Moellering RC. Monitoring serum vancomycin levels: climbing the mountain because it is there? *Clin Infect Dis* 1994; **18**: 544–546.
4. Roghmann MC, Perdue BE, Polish L. Vancomycin use in a hospital with vancomycin restriction. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1999; **20**: 60–63.
5. Tobin CM, Darville JM, Thomson AH *et al.* Vancomycin therapeutic drug monitoring: is there a consensus view? The results of a UK national external quality assessment scheme (UK NEQAS) for antibiotic assays questionnaire. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2002; **50**: 713–718.
6. Nandi-Lozano E, Ramirez-Lopez E, Avila-Figueroa C. Pharmacologic clinical monitoring of serum vancomycin levels in pediatric patients. *Rev Invest Clin* 2003; **55**: 276–280.
7. Rodvold KA, Zokufa H, Rotschafer JC. Routine monitoring of serum vancomycin concentrations: Can waiting be justified? *Clin Pharm* 1987; **6**: 655–658.
8. Bailie GR, Neal D. Vancomycin ototoxicity and nephrotoxicity. A review. *Med Toxicol Adverse Drug Exper* 1988; **3**: 376–386.
9. Geraci JE, Heilman FR, Nichols DR, Wellman WE, Ross GT. Some laboratory and clinical experience with a new

- antibiotic, vancomycin. In: *Antibiotics annual 1956–57*. New York: Medical Encyclopedia Inc., 1957; 90–106.
10. Rybak MJ, Albrecht LM, Boike SC, Chandrasekar PH. Nephrotoxicity of vancomycin alone and with an aminoglycoside. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1990; **25**: 679–687.
  11. Farber BF, Moellering RC. Retrospective study of the toxicity of preparations of vancomycin from 1974 to 1981. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1983; **23**: 138–141.
  12. Goldstein FW, Kitzis MD. Vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: no apocalypse now. *Clin Microb Infect* 2003; **9**: 761–765.
  13. Hiramatsu K. Vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: a new model of antibiotic resistance. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2001; **1**: 147–155.
  14. Sabath LD. Vancomycin dosing in life-threatening staphylococcal infections: need for measuring and achieving high peak serum levels for inoculum-related resistance ('Cryptic vancomycin resistance') [abstract 1774]. In: *Program and abstracts of the 39th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology, 1999: 43.
  15. Laplante KL, Rybak MJ. Impact of high-inoculum *Staphylococcus aureus* on the activities of nafcillin, vancomycin, linezolid, and daptomycin, alone and in combination with gentamicin, in an in vitro pharmacodynamic model. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004; **48**: 4665–4672.
  16. Wysocki M, Delatour F, Faurisson F *et al*. Continuous versus intermittent infusion of vancomycin in some staphylococcal infections: prospective multicenter randomized study. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2001; **45**: 2460–2467.